ORDINANCE NO. 97-1002

PUBLIC SEWER SYSTEM RATE ORDINANCE

Originally Approved October 23, 1997 Amended June 16, 1998

AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING RATES, FEES AND CHARGES FOR THE PUBLIC SEWER SYSTEM OF THE BIG SKY COUNTY WATER & SEWER DISTRICT NO 363.

WHEREAS, the Big Sky County Water & Sewer District No. 363 ("District") was created to operate and maintain a public sewer system ("public system") for purposes beneficial to the District; and

WHEREAS, the District may establish, by ordinance, rules and regulations for the operation, maintenance, use, and availability of the public system; and

WHEREAS, the District sets rates, fees and charges to cover the operation, maintenance and replacement ("OM&R) expenses of the public system including penalties and interest charges for delinquent accounts; and

WHEREAS, the District submitted (January 2, 1996) a Long Term Compliance Work Plan ("LTCWP") to the Department of Environmental Quality ("DEQ") for their review for capital improvements to the public system at an estimated cost of ten million six hundred thousand dollars (\$10,600,000) in addition to the costs associated with the DEQ approved Interim Action Work Plan ("IAWP") currently under construction; and

WHEREAS, the District and its predecessor, Rural Improvement District 305 (RID 305) has a long standing policy of exempting from paying Plant Investment Charges (PIC) lots platted and recorded within the three original subdivisions (the exempt lots): Meadow Village Subdivision, Sweetgrass Hills Subdivision, and Cascade Subdivision Blocks 1 & 6; and

WHEREAS, the exempt lots were all located adjacent to the originally installed public sewer collection system, all within RID 305 expanded jurisdiction (April 30, 1973); and

WHEREAS, the District completed a comprehensive due diligence review of the assets and liabilities claimed by RID 305 and on 16 February 1994 the District's Board of Directors passed a Resolution accepting the assets and liabilities from RID 305 and became the owner and operator of the District; and

WHEREAS, the District's Board of Directors recognizes the "exempt lots" commitment made by previous Boards of the District and Trustees of the RID 305;

WHEREAS, the District Board has the authority under Section 7-13-2218 and Section 7-13-2301, MCA to establish and collect rates, fees and charges for various services.

- **NOW, THEREFORE**, be it ordained and enacted by the Board of Directors of the Big Sky County Water & Sewer District No. 363, State of Montana, pursuant to the authority granted under Section 7-13-2218(9) and Section 7-13-2301, MCA the following rates, fees and charges for the public system:
- I. <u>Monthly Wastewater User Charge</u>. The OM&R monthly wastewater user charge for the public system is thirty two dollars and seventy five cents (\$32.75) per single family equivalent (SFE) per month and billed quarterly the last day of the quarter.
- A. <u>Application of Charges</u>. The wastewater user charge shall be applied to all properties that have any residential, commercial, industrial, condominium, recreational, apartment, or other development ("improvements") that generates wastewater that is connected to the public system.
- 1. Vacant Lots— A one half (½) SFE monthly charge shall be applied to all vacant lots that have sewer service available to them. Sewer service availability shall mean lots that have a sewer collector that is connected to the public system that runs adjacent to the lot in the public right-of-way, or in an easement.
- 2. Tracts, Sites and Areas— A monthly charge shall also be applied to all vacant tracts, sites, areas, or other buildable properties that have sewer service available to them as defined in Section I(A)(1) above and are developable. The monthly charge shall be five percent (5%) of the "Total SFE's Committed" less the "Total SFE's Currently Occupied" as shown on Exhibit A, Ordinance 97-1001. In the event that a tract, site, area, or other buildable property is subdivided into vacant lots, the lots shall be charged according to Section I(A)(1).
- B. <u>Penalty for Nonpayment of Charges</u>. The District shall charge a point eight three percent (.83%) per month, or ten percent (10%) per annum penalty for charges that go unpaid 30 days from the postmark date of the quarterly wastewater user charge bills.
- C. <u>Delinquent Accounts</u>. The District shall notify the Madison and Gallatin County assessor's office of all delinquent accounts of record for collection as an assessment on property tax bills in accordance with Section 7-13-2301(4), MCA.
- D. <u>Replacement Fund</u>. It shall be the policy of the District to calculate, collect and reserve an annual replacement fund based on a depreciation allowance for capital assets. Replacement funds collected shall be accounted for as required in Section 7-13-2301, MCA, and are included in the monthly wastewater user charge.
- E. <u>Wastewater User Charge Initiation</u>. The applicable periodic wastewater user charge shall commence at the time that a sewer permit is issued for new construction, or in the event

that a change in SFE's occurs either through remodeling or SFE audit. In the case of an SFE audit, charges will be retroactive for the previous twelve (12) month period from the date of the SFE audit.

F. Wastewater User Charge Refunds. If the District determines that the actual number of SFEs attributable to a particular parcel of real property are less than the total number of SFEs previously assigned to the parcel, or if a change in SFEs occurs which causes the total number of SFEs assigned to the parcel to decrease, wastewater user overcharges caused by the change in SFEs will be refunded by the District for the twelve (12) month period prior to the date the decrease in SFEs is verified by the District. If the parcel has been overcharged for less than twelve (12) months, then the District's refund to the property owner shall be limited to a refund of those overcharges actually paid. The form of the refund shall be either as a check, or as a credit against the affected account at the owner's discretion.

II. <u>Connection Inspection Charges</u>. A connection inspection charge for improvements shall be paid to the District before final approval and permit issuance. The connection inspection charge shall cover the cost of processing the application and providing sewer lateral inspection services. The connection inspection charge shall be as follows:

| | Type of Improvement | Connection Inspection Charge |
|---|---|---------------------------------|
| • | Remodeling | \$ 50 |
| • | Single Family Residence | \$ 750 |
| • | Two (2) through Four (4) units of improvement | \$ 1,000 |
| • | Five (5) through ten (10) units of improvement; and each commercial building less than or equal to 10,000 square feet | \$ 1,250 |
| ٠ | Eleven (11) or more units of improvement; and each commercial building greater than 10,000 square feet | \$ 1,500 |

III. <u>Plant Investment Charge</u>. All qualifying improvements permitted to connect to the public system will pay a plant investment charge after the District issues a written notice of preliminary approval, and prior to issuing written notice of final approval and permit issuance. The plant investment charge shall be reserved in a segregated and restricted sinking account for engineering and construction of capital improvements to expand the capacity of the public system. The plant investment charge shall be three thousand five hundred dollars (\$3,500) per SFE as determined by the Board after reviewing the Wastewater Service Charge Study (Kerin & Associates, August 1997) and spreadsheet analysis including the Boyne Settlement (attached as **Exhibit A**).

A. Plant Investment Charge Exemptions (Original Subdivisions).

New connections, or remodeling projects that result in an increase in SFE's that meet both criteria listed below are exempt from plant investment charges:

- 1. Lots within the original platted subdivisions of Big Sky— Meadow Village (March 29, 1971 as amended), Sweetgrass Hills (July 18, 1972 as amended), and Cascade Blocks 1 and 6 (April 27, 1973 as amended); and
- 2. Lots adjacent to the originally installed public system.

B. Exemptions by Agreements and Court Order.

Properties previously exempted from plant investment charges by agreement and/or court order including:

- 1. Westfork Meadows (Sewer Dedication Agreement, May 17, 1982)
- 2. Westland's properties (Supreme Court decision Westland v. Boyne, May 15, 1989, and amended in District Court Judgement, June 2, 1989).

C. Other Exemptions.

- 1. Improvement projects requesting permits to connect to the public system that are associated with providing fire service, law enforcement, emergency life support services, and other recognized government units under the Montana statutes. Other improvements requesting permits to connect to the public system may be exempt at the discretion of the Board for good cause shown.
- IV. <u>Penalty Provision</u>. If a sewer connection inspection permit has been issued and the applicant changes the plan to increase the number of SFE's that were originally approved on the sewer connection inspection permit and does not notify the District of the change, the District shall charge the applicant a two hundred percent 200% penalty for each SFE or portion of an SFE that exceeds the approved number of SFE's.
- V. <u>Reimbursement of Charges</u>. If a sewer connection permit has been issued and the applicant later decides not to build, the District shall reimburse the plant investment charge and retain the connection permit charge.
- VI. <u>Superseding Previous Ordinances</u>. This Ordinance governing rates, fees and charges for the public system shall supersede all previous ordinances of the District pertaining to rates, fees and charges, but shall in no way be deemed to supersede any provision of Ordinance 97-1001.
- VII. <u>Invalidation Clause</u>. Invalidity of any section, clause, sentence or provision in the

Ordinance shall not affect the validity of any other section, clause, sentence or provision of this Ordinance which can be given effect without such invalid part or parts.

VIII. <u>Amendment</u>. Pursuant to Section 7-13-2275, MCA, the District, through its duly authorized officers, reserves the right to amend this Ordinance in part or in whole whenever it deems necessary.

Adopted as Amended this 16th day of June, 1998.

Wendell Ingraham, President

Board of Directors

ATTEST:

Dee Rothschiller, Secretary

[SEAL]

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, Dee Rothschiller, hereby certify that I am the Secretary of the Big Sky County Water & Sewer District No. 363, and that the foregoing amended Ordinance 97-1002 was duly adopted on the third reading by the Board of Directors of the District at a regular meeting on June 16, 1998. I further certify that, upon a vote being taken on the Ordinance Amendment at said meeting, the following Directors voted in favor thereof: Wendell Ingraham, Stewart Peacock, Skip Radick, Dee Rothschiller, and Packey Cronin; voted against the same: ______; abstained from voting thereon: ______;

Witness my hand and seal officially this <u>16th</u> day of <u>June</u>, 1998.

Dee Rothschiller, Secretary

BIG SKY 'INTY WATER & SEWER DISTRICT NO. 363

PLANTI MENT CHARGE & BOYNE SETTLEMENT REVENUE PROJECTIONS

| Assumptions: | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|--------------------------|------------|
| Discount Rate: | 4.0% | Interest Earning Rate: | 5.0% |
| 250 K Payment Term: | 13 | Boyne Development: | 40% |
| Annual Payment: \$ | 250,000 | Other Development: | 60% |
| Boyne Surcharge: \$ | 3,500 | LTCWP Captial Cost: \$ | 10,600,000 |
| Plant Investment Charge: \$ | 3,500 | LTCWP Financing Cost: \$ | 15,609,384 |

| | TOTAL | SFE's | | PIC | BOYNE | | BOYNE | | BOYNE | | PROJECTED | E | BOYNE MOU | PIC FUND | | ı | NTEREST | | PROJECTED | A | CUMULATED |
|------|-----------|--------|----|-----------|---------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-------------|----|---------------|--------------|----|----|-----------|----|--------------|----|-------------|
| İ | SFE's | PAYING | RE | VENUE @ | SFE's @ | S | URCHARGE | | ANNUAL | | ANNUAL | | FUND | BALANCE | | E/ | ARNINGS @ | A١ | INUAL LTCWP | | PIC FUND |
| YEAR | PROJECTED | PIC's | \$ | 3,500 | 40% | | REVENUE | ļ | PAYMENT | F | PIC REVENUE | Α | AS OF 6/30/97 | AS OF 6/30/9 | 7 | | 5.0% | D | EBT SERVICE | | BALANCE |
| 1997 | 2167.1 | 115.1 | \$ | 402,850 | 46.0 | \$ | 161,000 | | | \$ | 563,850 | \$ | 418,274 | \$ 139,0 | 00 | Ş | 56,056 | | | \$ | 1,177,180 |
| 1998 | 2332.1 | 157.0 | \$ | 549,500 | 62.8 | \$ | 219,800 | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 1,019,300 | | - | | - | \$ | 109,824 | | | \$ | 2,306,304 |
| 1999 | 2902.1 | 62.0 | \$ | 217,000 | 24.8 | \$ | 86,800 | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 553,800 | | | | | \$ | 143,005 | | | \$ | 3,003,109 |
| 2000 | 2989.1 | 34.0 | \$ | 119,000 | 13.6 | \$ | 47,600 | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 416,600 | | _ | | | \$ | 170,985 | | | \$ | 3,590,695 |
| 2001 | 3084.3 | 42.2 | \$ | 147,700 | 16.9 | \$ | 59,150 | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 456,850 | | - | | _ | \$ | 163,354 | \$ | (780,469) | \$ | 3,430,430 |
| 2002 | 3179,5 | 42.2 | \$ | 147,700 | 16.9 | \$ | 59,150 | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 456,850 | | | | _ | \$ | 155,341 | \$ | (780,469) | | 3,262,151 |
| 2003 | 3274.7 | 42.2 | \$ | 147,700 | 16.9 | \$ | 59,150 | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 456,850 | | - | | | \$ | 146,927 | \$ | (780,469) | \$ | 3,085,458 |
| 2004 | 3369.9 | 42.2 | \$ | 147,700 | 16.9 | \$ | 59,150 | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 456,850 | | _ | | | \$ | 138,092 | \$ | (780,469) | \$ | 2,899,931 |
| 2005 | 3465,1 | 42.2 | \$ | 147,700 | 16.9 | \$ | 59,150 | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 456,850 | | - | | | \$ | 128,816 | \$ | (780,469) | \$ | 2,705,127 |
| 2006 | 3575,5 | 57.4 | \$ | 200,900 | 23.0 | \$ | 80,500 | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 531,400 | | | | | \$ | 122,803 | \$ | (780,469) | \$ | 2,578,861 |
| 2007 | 3685.9 | 57.4 | \$ | 200,900 | 23.0 | \$ | 80,500 | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 531,400 | | | | | \$ | 116,490 | \$ | (780,469) | \$ | 2,446,281 |
| 2008 | 3796.2 | 57.4 | \$ | 200,900 | 23.0 | \$ | 80,500 | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 531,400 | | | | | \$ | 109,861 | \$ | (780,469) | \$ | 2,307,073 |
| 2009 | 3906,6 | 57.4 | \$ | 200,900 | 23.0 | \$ | 80,500 | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 531,400 | | | | - | \$ | 102,900 | \$ | (780,469) | \$ | 2,160,904 |
| 2010 | 4017.0 | 57.4 | \$ | 200,900 | 23.0 | \$ | 80,500 | \$ | 250,000 | \$ | 531,400 | | | | | \$ | 95,592 | \$ | (780,469) | \$ | 2,007,426 |
| 2011 | 4145.0 | 75.0 | \$ | 262,500 | 30.0 | \$ | 105,000 | | •• | \$ | 367,500 | | - | | - | \$ | 79,723 | \$ | (780,469) | \$ | 1,674,180 |
| 2012 | 4273.0 | 75.0 | \$ | 262,500 | 30.0 | \$ | 105,000 | | | \$ | 367,500 | | - | | | \$ | 63,061 | \$ | (780,469) | \$ | 1,324,271 |
| 2013 | 4400.9 | 75.0 | \$ | 262,500 | 30.0 | \$ | 105,000 | | - | \$ | 367,500 | | | | | \$ | 45,565 | \$ | (780,469) | S | 956,867 |
| 2014 | 4528.9 | 75.0 | \$ | 262,500 | 30.0 | \$ | 105,000 | | | \$ | 367,500 | | | | | \$ | 27,195 | \$ | (780,469) | \$ | 571,093 |
| 2015 | 4656.9 | 75.0 | \$ | 262,500 | 30.0 | \$ | 105,000 | | | | 367,500 | | | | | \$ | 7,906 | | (780,469) | | 166,030 |
| 2016 | 4805.2 | 97.3 | \$ | 340,550 | 38.9 | \$ | 136,150 | | | | 476,700 | | | | | \$ | (6,887) | | (780,469) | \$ | (144,626 |
| 2017 | 4953.4 | 97.3 | \$ | 340,550 | 38.9 | \$ | 136,150 | | | | 476,700 | | | | | \$ | (22,420) | | (780,469) | | (470,815 |
| 2018 | 5101.7 | 97.3 | \$ | 340,550 | 38.9 | \$ | 136,150 | | - | | 476,700 | | | | | \$ | (38,729) | | (780,469) | | (813,314 |
| 2019 | 5249.9 | 97.3 | \$ | 340,550 | 38.9 | \$ | 136,150 | | | | 476,700 | | | | | \$ | (55,854) | | (780,469) | | (1,172,937 |
| 2020 | 5398.2 | 97.3 | \$ | 340,550 | 38.9 | \$ | 136,150 | | ** | \$ | 476,700 | | * | | | \$ | (73,835) | \$ | (780,469) | \$ | (1,550,542) |
| | TOTALS | | \$ | 6,046,600 | 691.2 | \$ | 2,419,200 | \$ | 3,250,000 | \$ | 11,715,800 | \$ | 418,274 | \$ 139,0 | 00 | \$ | 1,785,768 | \$ | (15,609,384) | \$ | (1,550,542 |

TOTAL PROJECTED REVENUE \$ 14,058,842 Percent Funded 90.07%

Surplus/(Deficit) Balance \$ (1,550,542)

ASSUMPTIONS:

- 1- SFE projections from Long Term Compliance Work Plan, MSE-HKM, 1995.
- 2- SFE projections per Option 1 of Kerin Rate Study, August 1997. Assumes Westfork Meadows and Original Subdivisions develop at 8 SFE's per year.
- 3- Boyne developments include all Boyne owned properties per 1997 Settlement Agreement
- 4- Boyne developments account for 40% of projected development
- 5- Boyne's share of MOU Suspense Account fund balance as of 6/30/97

Page 1